



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/582,206	07/17/2000	ALEXANDER ANDRAAVICH MOLDOVYAN	P65724USO	4821	
7590 12/18/2003			EXAMINER		
JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN 400 SEVENTH STREET N W			SEAL, JAMES		
SUITE 600	SIREEINW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005		2135		
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			PRY			
	Application N	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	09/582,206	MOLDOVYAN E	T AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Seal	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	<u>uly 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) dobjec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• •			
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the at	tached Office Action or form F	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) ○ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second content of the foreign language pro 14.	ts have been received ts have been received ts have been received to the transfer of the certified copic priority under 35 test sentence of the service of the service priority under 35 test sentence priority unde	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision pecification or in an Application has been received. J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗀 امه	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	2(0)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (P1				

Application/Control Number: 09/582,206

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Action is in reply to applicant correspondence dated 14 August 2000.
- 2. The IDS was considered and a signed copy is returned with this action
- 3. Claims 1-3 are pending

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure is not in the proper format: Background of the Invention, Summary of the Invention, Brief Description of the Drawings, Detailed Description of Preferred, Detailed Description of the Invention. Headings such as Industrial Applicability are not appropriate for United State Patents.

- 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Oath/Declaration

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration believes the named inventor or inventors to be the original and first inventor or inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,206

Art Unit: 2131

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration.

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

The clause regarding "willful false statements ..." required by 37 CFR 1.68 has been omitted.

It does not identify the citizenship of each inventor.

It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 2, the word alternate should be alternately. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Application/Control Number: 09/582,206

Art Unit: 2131

Delayaye et. al. US 4751733 A.

- 9. As per claim 1, the limitation of a method for cryptographic conversion (Encryption) of binary data blocks disclosed by Delayaye see Column 1, 8-10, consisting of slitting the data blocks (words consisting of n bits) into two or more (N ≥ 2) sub-blocks (sub-words of m bits) see Column 1, line13-14 and Figure 3 (note in this case Delayaye splits the word element 9 into four 32 bit words and places them in the latches, Converting said blocks by performing an encryption of the ith sub-block such (see figure 3 elements 2, 3, 4, 5 the encryption being carried out by parts of the word to be encrypted and by parts of the key, Column 8, 45-47) and thus these parts would be latched into 20 and 21 Figure 3. Thus in this mode of operation, part of sub-block can be used in the encryption of sub-blocks 13 and 14. Thus the operation of transposing (encrypting) bits of the ith sub-block is used as the operation dependent on the value of the jth sub-block. Claim 1 is rejected.
- 10. As per claim 2, that the limitation of transposing bits as disclosed in 1 is further characterized in that the transposition is generated and dependent on a secret key before the beginning of the ith sub-block conversion (encryption). Referring to Figure 1 of Delayaye, keys are stored in the key memory element 7 and are distributed to the substitution boxes before the encryption of the sub-blocks occur, see Column 2, lines 66-68, Column 3 lines 1-16. Claim 2 is rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2131

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delayeye as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Mittenthal, Statistically Efficient Inter-Round Mixing Block Substitution Devices January 1996.

11. As per claim 3, the limitation of the transposition of the ith row depends on that of the jth row and further characterized that a binary vector V is additionally generated, said operation of transposing bits of said ith sub-block being performed depending on the V value, where the binary vector is generated depending on its value at the time of performing the preceding step of converting one said sub-blocks and depending on the jth sub-block, is disclosed by Mittenthal page 3 bottom. Note feed forward loops from the i to the i+1 S-box. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to have modified Delayeye invention with the teaching of Mittenthal to have given a better statistical distribution for the substitutions (permutations), and because this increases the resistance to attack from differential and linear attacks. Claim 3 is rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Seal whose telephone number is 703 308 4562. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703 305 9658. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 746 7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 3900.

James Seal

Examiner AU2131 11 December 2003